FIG 51

1951

July 24

NEW HAMPSHIRE LAW LIBRARY

SEP 0 3 1998

CONCORD, N.H.

Fonorable C. Murray Sawyer, Clerk of the Concord Delegation, House of Representatives Concord, New Hampshire

Dear Sir:

You have inquired regarding the constitutionality of an amendment to House Bill No. 452 establishing a procedure for amending the charter of the City of Concord upon petition of three per cent of the qualified voters of the City to the Hayor and City Council for a special meeting to vote upon an amendment to the City Charter. The proposed amendment to House Bill No. 452 in terms would authorize the voters of Concord, without prior legislative senction, to smend the City Charter so as to provide a new form of government by abolition of the City lanager form or by adoption of other appropriate amondments.

The proposed procedure for accomplishing substantial amendments to a city charter is novel and without precedent in the history of municipal government in New Hampshire. Substantial precedent, however, militates against the principle and rationale of the proposed bill, namely to amend a charter without the prior legislative approval of the General Court. Accordingly, it is my opinion that the proposed amendment to House Bill No. 452 would be an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power to a municipality.

Fublic policy in this state reflected in the decisions of its courts vests the control of local governments in the Legislature. There is no fundamental doctrine establishing a right of local selfgovernment in New Hampshire.

The entire trend and philosophy of our decisions is in deniel of the right, and the question can hardly be regarded as unsettled. " Amyot v. Caron. 89 H.H. 398.

While the Legislature has power to delegate a right of local self-government, the Legislature cannot abrogate its power to make laws by delegating to a numicipality without restraint the power to legislate.

"The principle of local government authorizes grants of limited powers of local legislation to towns. Manorable C. Murray Souver

- 8 -

July 24, 1951

but the power of general state legiclation cannot be delegated by the cenate and house in whom the constitution vents it." Willowskie, Afer. V. Moldowskie, 68 N.H. 227, 228. See also Attorney Ceneral v. Cherard, 63 N.H. 323, 384; Art. 5, Fart Casond, Constitution of New Hampshire.

A bosic distinction should be recognized between meking a general law combingent upon a local vote and subbording a municipality by local vote to unhage general law. It is constitutional to make the operation of a general-law dependent upon the vote of an affected municipality.

State = locate. So H.H. 279, 202-203. But it is unconstitutional to recognize a numicipality to error a general law within the province of the legislature. Opinion of the Justices. 78 H.H. 617,620.

Very truly yours.

Cordon H. Tiffany Attorney General

JHH: IP